International suspicions, fears and threats are not new issues for Australians. Following World War II (1939–45), fears of communism and spies ran deep. This unit examines those fears, and how they affected two historical events – the referendum to outlaw the Communist Party of Australia (1951) and the Petrov Affair (1954).

Fears about the spread of communism also affected global politics. In March 1946, British politician Winston Churchill warned that ‘an iron curtain’ (of communism) had ‘descended across’ Europe. In the years following, antagonism between the communist bloc of nations (led by the Soviet Union) and Western nations (led by the United States) produced a long period of international tension, called the Cold War. During this time, many people in the Western ‘free world’ described communism as the ‘Red Menace’. Others, however, questioned whether communism was as big a threat as most people in the West imagined.

The unit challenges you to investigate major issues and events of the 1950s and ’60s and to re-examine them in the context of terrorism in the modern world.

Resources

Books
Evans, Ben 2001, Out in the Cold: Australia’s Involvement in the Korean War 1950–53, Australian War Memorial and Department of Veterans’ Affairs, Canberra.

Film and video
Beyond the 38th Parallel 2000, ScreenSound Australia.
Fortress Australia 2002, Film Australia.
Red Matildas 1984, Ronin Films.

Knowledge, skills and values

By the conclusion of this unit you will be able to:
• explain what the Petrov Affair showed about Australia’s relationship with the USSR
• identify values associated with the referendum to ban the Communist Party of Australia
• describe and explain Australia’s participation in the Korean War
• use comparisons from the past to form opinions about current issues of liberty and security.
Websites
Commonwealth Department of Veterans’ Affairs at http://www.australiansatwar.gov.au/ (Follow these links: Australians at War>Through My Eyes>Stemming the Tide of Communism>Getting Through It – the Cold War and Reds Under the Bed.)
Australian War Memorial – online exhibition about the Korean War at http://www.awm.gov.au/ (Follow these links: Australians at War>Australian Military History Overview>Korean War 1950–53>Out in the Cold: Australia’s Involvement in the Korean War.)
The Menzies Virtual Museum – the life and career of Sir Robert Menzies at http://www.menziesvirtualmuseum.org.au
CNN site (USA) with transcripts and video clips at http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/cold.war
The Cold War Museum offers brief descriptions of Cold War highlights, with many links to other sites of varying quality at http://www.coldwar.org/

Glossary
Bill draft of proposed government legislation. After passing through both Houses of Parliament, a Bill becomes an Act and is then part of Australian law.
capitalism economic system that allows free enterprise and the private ownership of property and goods
civil liberties personal freedoms – usually including freedom of religion and freedom of speech, thought and movement
defection disowning one’s country and seeking refuge in another
espionage spying for the purpose of passing information to an enemy government
fifth column group of enemy sympathisers inside a country who assist a foreign government
High Court highest appeal court in Australia with powers to interpret the Constitution and apply the law of Australia
legislation process of making laws through parliament
Red slang term for communist. Red was the main colour on the flags of many communist countries, symbolising the blood shed by workers in defending themselves against their oppressors.
referendum electoral practice that allows citizens to vote directly on deciding a particular issue
totalitarian type of single-party government where people have few personal freedoms and are obliged to give total obedience to the State
USSR Union of Soviet Socialist Republics – a federation of communist states that was formed by Russia after the Russian Revolution of 1917
This is a very famous photograph of a dramatic event in Australian history. It was taken at Sydney’s Mascot airport on 19 April 1954 and is a source of historical evidence. Even if you don’t know anything about the event, you can still use the photograph to obtain information. For example, you can ask and answer some simple questions such as:

- ‘How many people are in the photograph?’ (This is a comprehension question that focuses on an obvious, surface feature of the source.)
- ‘How many are male, and how many are female?’ (This is an analytical question that focuses on a pattern or theme in the source.)

Depending on what you are trying to discover about the event, these questions and answers may not be very important. But they could be.

Now think about the question: ‘What is happening in the photograph?’ This is a different sort of question because in order to answer it you must go beyond comprehension and begin to interpret the source. ‘What is happening in the photograph?’ is an interpretative question that asks you to ‘read between the lines’.

Your answer to this question might be ‘Each of the men is holding one of the woman’s arms’. No one would argue with that. But if you said ‘They are supporting her’ or ‘They are restraining her’, other viewers of the photo might disagree with you. To decide whether the men are ‘supporting’ the woman or ‘restraining’ her, you need to look for other clues in the source. For example, you would look at the way the men’s hands are holding her arms or the expression on each person’s face. Here is another interpretative question: ‘Is the woman exhausted or upset or sick or something else?’

You cannot accurately answer such interpretative questions without knowing more about the photograph and the event itself. One way of doing this is to examine other sources, such as the one below.

Source 1: A recent newspaper comment about an incident in 1954

In early April 1954, her husband Vladimir (Petrov), who had run the Soviet Union’s spy operations in Australia, defected in Canberra. Soon afterwards, the KGB decided to get Evdokia, who had not changed sides with Vladimir, back to Moscow. Thus did a picture of an elegant, but distraught, woman being frogmarched by grim apparatchiks – the Manchester Guardian called them ‘plug uglies’ – to the steps of a BOAC Constellation at Sydney airport flash round the world.

© Fountain, Nigel, Guardian, Saturday, 27 July 2002.
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When you use one source to help you interpret another, you are involved in corroboration. Reading one source helps you develop knowledge from the other.

The incident depicted in the photograph – two KGB agents forcing Mrs Petrov onto a plane in Sydney – was part of a larger event that became known as the ‘Petrov Affair’. It became a diplomatic crisis between Australia and the communist government of the USSR. The affair not only involved the sensational defections of Vladimir and Evdokia Petrov but the shutdown of the Soviet Embassy in Australia (it remained closed for five years) and a demand from the USSR that Australia close its embassy in Moscow.

In exchange for revealing details of Soviet spying activities, Mr and Mrs Petrov were allowed to stay in Australia. They lived secretly under assumed names to escape revenge by KGB agents. Mrs Petrov outlived her husband and died in Melbourne in July 2002 at the age of 88.

Nigel Fountain wrote his description (Source 1) 48 years after the actual event. Is his account more reliable than reports from 1954? What effect might words such as ‘distraught’, ‘frogmarched’, ‘grim apparatchiks’ and ‘plug uglies’ have on his readers and how they feel about the event? Is the Guardian a reputable newspaper? Does the Guardian promote a particular political viewpoint?

When you pose questions like these about historical sources, you are involved in the process of evaluation – trying to decide how relevant, trustworthy, complete and reliable the sources are. Comparing and contrasting different sources (corroboration) can be part of the evaluation process. For example, a historian wanting to check Nigel Fountain’s description could read a newspaper account published at the time, such as the following.

Source 2: A newspaper description, 1954

Yesterday about 2,000 people jostled ... around the distraught Mrs Petrov at Sydney Airport as she was escorted by the two couriers and an Embassy official to her BOAC Constellation. Witnesses later signed statements that they had heard her saying in Russian, ‘I do not want to go. Save me’.


Adding to the evidence

Use Source 1 to help you fill out the following basic details about the photograph on page 9.
1. Who was the woman and what was her relationship to Vladimir Petrov?
2. Who were the two men?
3. What are the two men doing to the woman?
4. Is the woman ‘exhausted’ or ‘upset’ or ‘sick’ or something else?

When you use one source to help you interpret another, you are involved in corroboration. Reading one source helps you develop knowledge from the other.

Examining a visual source

Look again at the photograph on page 9, and discuss these questions in class.
1. Who took the photograph and why?
2. If the photographer took more than one photograph, why was this one chosen (probably by a newspaper editor) to appear in the paper?
3. If you have access to the Internet, check the website of American Photography: A Century of Images at http://www.pb.org/ktca/americanphotography/ and enter the Image Lab. Follow the menu links to ‘At the Edge’ and ‘Digital Manipulation’ and try the practical photographic activities. When you have done this, consider what you have learned about photography as a method of presenting historic truth.

Corroborating evidence

1. Does Source 2 seem to corroborate (support) the description given in Source 1?
2. What extra information does the 1954 description provide?
3. How is the extra information helpful for a historian investigating the incident at Sydney airport?
Communism in Australia: the question of the ‘communist threat’

Before writing about an event, historians have to locate, comprehend, analyse, interpret and evaluate a large number of historical sources, and to compare and contrast those sources. When doing this, they are usually pursuing a particular historical question.

For example, a historian could be researching the question ‘Why did Vladimir Petrov defect to Australia in 1954?’ or the question ‘How did opposition to communism affect Australian politics in the 1950s?’ The second question is the one that you’ll investigate in the following pages.

Five years before the Petrov Affair, Robert Menzies (leader of the Liberal Party in Australia’s federal parliament) made the following election promise.

Source 3: Robert Menzies' election campaign speech, 1949 (extract)
The Communists are the most unscrupulous opponents of religion, of civilised government, of law and order, of national security ...

Communism in Australia is an alien and destructive pest.

If elected, we shall outlaw it.


When Menzies said ‘outlaw it’, he meant ‘outlaw the Communist Party of Australia’ (CPA). At the time, the CPA was a legal political party in Australia.

Source 4: ‘Nearer, Clearer, Deadlier’
by Norman Lindsay

Comprehending and interpreting text

Make a list of the bad things that Menzies accuses communists of doing. For each thing, suggest a specific action or practice that Menzies might have had in mind when he made the accusation. For example, what might communists have done that would show they were ‘unscrupulous opponents of religion’?
The figure in the cartoon is depicted as a Roman soldier (centurion) from about 2,000 years ago. However, the cartoonist drew the figure to represent something happening in 1950. The hammer and sickle symbol on the soldier’s shield was the symbol of communism on the flag of the former Soviet Union (USSR).

Source 5: A map comparison

Exchanging a visual source

What do you think the cartoonist in Source 4 is suggesting about the role of communism in the world in 1950?

Examining a visual source

1. Examine the two maps in Source 5 and explain what they show about the Roman Empire and communism.
2. How do Sources 4 and 5 help you to understand Menzies’ plan to outlaw the CPA?
Communism is an economic and political system proposed by two Germans, Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, in a popular book called *The Communist Manifesto* (1848).

Marx and Engels were sad and angry about the situation they witnessed in the newly industrialised countries of mid-nineteenth-century Europe but believed that the poverty and injustice they saw was typical of every stage of human history. They described the situation as a ‘class struggle’ in which working people were always fighting against the people who oppressed them.

In *The Communist Manifesto*, Marx and Engels claimed that:
- workers (the proletariat) were exploited by those who controlled money and invested in businesses (capitalists)
- most workers received poor wages and worked in terrible conditions while capitalists made large profits
  - this unfair situation could not continue and would inevitably lead to a communist revolution
  - the workers would overthrow the capitalists and a new, classless society would emerge.

Marx and Engels believed that communism was the last stage of history. Once it was established there would be no need for class struggle because society would then be classless. People would work according to their abilities and in return would receive enough to meet their needs. In a communist society, people would also be cooperative. Decisions about work, production, distribution of goods and provision of services would be made democratically and locally. There would be no need for a large central government and a massive public service. The state would ‘wither away’.

The first successful revolution inspired by communist ideas occurred in Russia in 1917. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) emerged from that revolution. However, later events in the USSR seemed to betray the ideas of Marx and Engels. Society was tightly controlled and economic changes were often forced on reluctant people. The Soviet regime became most cruel under Joseph Stalin, who governed the USSR as a dictator from 1928 to 1952. Stalin used secret police and brutal prisons to eliminate opposition to his rule.

When Robert Menzies proposed banning the CPA in 1950, Stalin was at the peak of his power in the Soviet Union. Horror stories about the USSR were well known in Australia.

After World War II ended in 1945, the USSR encouraged communist parties to seize power in many other countries of eastern Europe. By 1948 Albania, Bulgaria, Romania, Poland, Hungary, East Germany, Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia all had communist governments.

Closer to Australia, there were also dramatic developments. A communist regime came to power in China in 1949, and war broke out between communist North Korea and pro-US South Korea in June 1950.
The cartoon in Source 4 and Mr Menzies’ proposal to ban the CPA were both shaped in a climate of fear. Most Australians associated communism with the excesses of Stalin in the USSR and the oppression of the new Chinese communist regime. These practices horrified even some supporters of the CPA.

The Liberal Party, led by Mr Menzies, won the 1949 federal election. The Labor government lost office and Menzies became prime minister of Australia. In 1950 he introduced the Communist Party Dissolution Bill into federal parliament.

If passed by Parliament, this Bill would have:
• outlawed the CPA
• prevented communists from being employed in a government or semi-government institution or holding any official position in a trade union.

The most controversial aspect of the legislation concerned the ‘burden of proof’. A person could be declared a communist simply by being accused by one other person. It was then up to the people accused of being communists to prove their innocence. In other words, a person was guilty until proven innocent. This was a reversal of the accepted judicial principle in Australia; that is, a person is innocent until proven guilty.

Both houses of federal parliament, the House of Representatives and the Senate, passed the Bill. But the CPA and several unions challenged the Bill in the High Court. They claimed it was unconstitutional because Australia could ban political parties only when Australia was at war, not during peacetime. The High Court agreed and declared the legislation unconstitutional in March 1951. Menzies brought on an early federal election, held on 28 April 1951. His government was returned to office. Menzies then initiated a referendum, asking the Australian people whether they supported legislation to ban the CPA and to prevent communists from holding certain positions.

The proposal to ban the CPA generated strong emotions among Australian people. A cartoonist of the time drew the simple but powerful image shown in Source 6.

Source 6: ‘Cornered’

Examining a visual source

1. What do you think the cartoonist has implied by drawing this particular animal and placing it in a dark corner? (Think of some adjectives usually used to describe this animal.)

2. Why do you think the cartoonist depicted the Bill as a truncheon and labelled it ‘Anti-Red Bill’ rather than the proper title - the Communist Party Dissolution Bill?

3. Imagine you were an Australian voter in 1951. Would this simple but stark cartoon have influenced your vote? Give reasons for your answer.

4. In a democratic society, should cartoonists be free to draw cartoons that dramatise, exaggerate or distort situations?

On the following pages you will investigate the referendum arguments, using two key historical sources. In any referendum, the federal electoral authority arranges for both a ‘Yes’ case and a ‘No’ case to be prepared by skilled supporters of each side. Those two cases are publicised widely within the Australian community.
Official arguments presented at the referendum of 17 September 1951

**Source 7: Extracts from the ‘Yes’ case**

You will not need much argument to convince you that Communist activity in Australia has become a grave menace to our industrial peace, to production, to national security and defence. It is the enemy of defence and progress; it is the friend of inflation and disorder. Events, not only in Australia but in the other free countries of the world, have shown things very clearly...

If the old brotherly alliance of the Second World War had continued, and Communism had been content to live in its home without the itch for both military and political conquest, we would today be living in a state of real peace, and would be able to bend our energies to restoration, and to industrial and social betterment.

Aggressive Communism follows the same technique all over the world. Its chief instrument is the local ‘fifth column’, small in numbers, but led and directed by men many of whom have been actually highly trained in the Soviet Union itself.

... This explains why the Australian Communist leaders have worked their way into positions of leadership in key trade unions such as those relating to Coal-mining, Shipping, Waterside work, Iron-working and Transport.

Readings: Menzies and the Communists 2001, HTAV (The History Teachers Association of Victoria Ltd), Collingwood, p 18.

**Source 8: Extracts from the ‘No’ case**

The Australian Labour Party asks you to read this case and to vote No.

Labour is utterly opposed to Communism. Labour has taken the only effective action to combat Communism in Australia.

But the question is not whether you are against Communism but whether you approve of the Menzies government’s referendum proposals which are unnecessary, unjust and totalitarian and could threaten all minority groups.

... Distinguished Church leaders have shown their grave concern on these questions. Thus Bishop Moyes said:

‘For the Australian Commonwealth to develop an order that has even a faint resemblance to the police state of totalitarian countries, with its hunting for victims, is to give Communism its first victory. For we shall be adopting its methods and using Satan to cast out Satan.’

Readings: Menzies and the Communists 2001, HTAV (The History Teachers Association of Victoria Ltd), Collingwood, p 19.

**Comprehending and interpreting text**

1. What are communists accused of doing within Australia and other parts of the world?
2. Source 7 implies that the communists in positions of leadership would be planning to do damage. What do you think is meant by this? (How might they do damage in ‘Coal-mining, Shipping’ etc?)

Red menace?
Newspaper opinions

Newspapers express their points of view about important issues in a prominent section called the editorial. In 1951, Australian newspaper proprietors and/or editors made strong attempts to influence public opinion about the referendum. Here are two examples.

Source 9: An editorial from The Age

The simple issue is whether we as a people think the Communist party should be abolished, and active Communists removed from places of influence in industry and the public service. The majority thought so in 1949 and a few months ago. Has anything happened to cause them to change their minds?

The world scene is still charged with threats. There is no reason to suppose that Communists, if given immunity as a result of the poll, will be any less active in promoting disruption than during the last six years.

Mr Menzies asks the people to brush aside the cobweb of fanciful arguments and come back to stark realities ... People who saw solid grounds for rooting out Communists, and still do so, will not be dissuaded from a 'Yes' vote by clever but specious and extravagant arguments that ignore basic facts and political realities.

Excerpt from the Editorial, The Age, Tuesday, 18 September 1951. © The Age. Reproduced by permission

Source 10: An editorial from The Argus

The Referendum to be taken tomorrow is one of the most important in Australia’s history. It is a Referendum not about matters of pounds, shillings and pence, but about things fundamental in democracy – the liberty of the individual, the British tradition of justice, and the possibility of suppressing ideas with the bludgeon.

... If the question to be put to the electors was ‘Do you believe that Communism is harmful to this country and should be defeated?’ we have no doubt that the answer would be an overwhelming ‘Yes’. But that is not the question.

... the Referendum asks powers to set up a ‘Police State’ – to open the way to condemnation without proof, to the anonymous smear by ‘perjurers, pimps and informers’, to the destruction of the whole traditional British way of justice and the Australian way of life. We say ‘No!’.

The Argus, Friday, 21 September 1951.

Personal memories

So far you’ve studied the official arguments about the referendum, some cartoons and newspaper editorials. Here are some personal sources – the recollections of a woman who was a schoolgirl in 1951 (Source 11), a man who belonged to the Federated Clerks Union in 1949 (Source 12) and an Aboriginal poet who was once a member of the CPA (Source 13).

Although written many years after the events they describe, these sources help us to understand the climate of ideas and feelings about communism that existed in 1951. When you try to stand in other people’s shoes and see the world through their eyes, you are demonstrating empathy – a word that literally means ‘feeling with’. Empathy will help you understand what was considered normal and natural and taken for granted in a different time – even though that may be very different from what you consider usual.

Identifying and analysing information

1. Which of the two sources (9 and 10) seems to oppose communism itself? Identify the phrase or sentence that indicates that opposition.
2. Which of the sources recommends a ‘No’ vote in the referendum? Quote the phrase or sentence that indicates its recommendation.
3. The Age editorial refers to ‘basic facts’. What might be one of those ‘basic facts’?
4. Give three examples of persuasive language from each editorial and explain how the words they use might influence the newspaper’s readers.
Source 11: Jenny Rockwell at school

I first heard about the Petrov Affair from Mr Edwards the Second [Jenny’s nickname for a teacher at her school] … ‘It was inhuman to treat Evdokia Petrov like that,’ he told us gravely, showing us the newspaper photograph of an anguished woman – wearing a suit and one shoe – between two large grim men in suits. Sitting behind our much-carved wooden desks, we stared at him blankly. The episode had nothing to do with us. The Russians were bad, we all knew that. I remembered an episode of Night Beat on the radio in which Randy Stone fell foul of some Russky spies, who had thick accents and were out to destroy ‘democracy as we know it’, in other words the American Way of Life. I knew from Mum and Dad that the Russians were dying for the opportunity to take over Australia. It was only a matter of time before they did, unless the Yellow Peril beat them to it.

Rockwell, Jenny 1988, ‘There were definite rules’, in In the Half Light: Life as a Child in Australia 1900–1970, Jacqueline Kent, Angus & Robertson, North Ryde, New South Wales, p 221. Reproduced by kind permission of the author

Here’s another voice from those days. This is a recollection of Eric Hastings, who was a trade unionist.

Source 12: Eric Hastings recalls a union meeting in Sydney, 1949

When we moved on to the Trades Hall it was obvious that feeling was running high. There was a capacity crowd with organisers, cheerleaders and bouncers wandering up and down the aisles. The Executive, all ‘Commos’, sat on a platform in ordinary chairs facing the audience. [The business of the meeting] commenced with much shouting and cheer or protest leaders … making proceedings lively if not threatening.

A motion of no-confidence in the Executive was put to the meeting and clearly carried but the [communist] chairman declared the motion lost. In the ensuing uproar the scene turned very ugly when a number of anti-communists mounted the stage and forcibly removed the chairs from under the executive members who had the good sense to grab their papers and hightail it for the nearest exit. No one was hurt in the melee as far as I know but from that time onward communist domination in that union ceased.


Source 13: Kath Walker and the communists

The political awareness came … when I was about twenty. I was up at the butcher shop … In those days they used to wrap the meat in newspaper. I took it home and because I was an avid reader I’d read everything and there was a little article complaining that Aboriginals weren’t allowed in a shop in Bundaberg.

I thought, the man talks just like I feel. The name of the paper was The Guardian and I discovered it was the communist paper. So I joined them because I liked the way they talked. I couldn’t join any other party because they had the White Australia platform. The communists were saying that all people were born equal etc. I’d always known this … I didn’t stay in the Communist Party long because they wanted to write my speeches. They wanted me to say what they wanted me to say. I said, ‘No, I can’t do that, I’m no parrot’.

But what I learned in the Communist Party was a hell’va lot about politics which stood me in good stead through life.

Drawing it all together

The 1951 Referendum was defeated by an extremely narrow margin – less than 1 per cent. Historians disagree about the meaning of this result. Some argue that Australians were defending their democratic rights by voting no, others point out that there have only been eight successful constitutional referendums in Australian history and that Australians are reluctant to change their Constitution.

Three years later, in 1954, the Petrov Affair burst onto the Australian political scene. After announcing Petrov’s defection, Prime Minister Menzies set up a Royal Commission to investigate claims of a Soviet spy ring in Australia. The Commission opened a fortnight before the 29 May 1954 election, which the polls suggested Menzies might lose. However, Menzies won the election.

The Royal Commission found evidence that secret documents had been leaked to the Soviets during the 1940s. No one was charged with espionage though. Dr Evatt, the Labor opposition leader, appeared at the Commission to defend members of his staff accused of having communist sympathies. He denounced the Royal Commission as being an attempt by the Liberals to discredit the Labor Party. His behaviour lost him support within his own party and led to a split in the Labor Party, which helped keep it out of government for almost twenty years.

Drawing conclusions

1 Why do you need to be careful when using historical evidence such as Sources 11, 12 and 13?
2 What do these three personal recollections indicate about people’s attitudes to communism in Australia in the early 1950s?
3 What extra research could you undertake to decide whether the viewpoints of Jenny, Eric and Kath were typical of other Australians?

Further activities

Poster design
The referendum campaign in 1951 split the nation. To influence voters, both the ‘Yes’ and the ‘No’ cases used various media – including posters. Design and produce a poster for either the ‘Yes’ or the ‘No’ case. Use a dramatic graphic (remember the ‘rat’ in Source 6). Use words that appeal to readers’ emotions and beliefs (‘fear’, ‘patriotism’, ‘love of freedom’, ‘fair play’). Get ideas from Sources 7 and 9 (the ‘Yes’ case) and Sources 8 and 10 (the ‘No’ case). Arrange a classroom display of all the posters.

Public meeting
Imagine that a public meeting is to be held on 14 September 1951, one week before the referendum. In a local hall, a well-known journalist will chair a meeting with four speakers – two for the ‘Yes’ case and two for the ‘No’ case. Form groups of five students. Allocate the five roles – chairperson and four speakers. Each member of the ‘Yes’ and ‘No’ teams should choose a role (unionist, teacher, housewife, business owner, RSL member) and decide what the focus of each speech will be, and how long to speak for (for example 2 minutes). The journalist/chairperson should prepare an impartial introduction that highlights the importance of the referendum. Discuss with your teacher whether all the groups can present their ‘public meetings’ to the class.

Media presentation
Imagine that you have been invited to produce a brief television news item (2-3 minutes) to mark the fiftieth anniversary of the Petrov Affair. The item is to consist of a number of images and a spoken narration. The item must focus on the history of communism in Australia, including the 1951 referendum and the 1954 Petrov Affair.

At this stage, you can respond to this invitation in one of these ways:
• Plan and produce the actual item using a video camera and video editor.
• Produce a PowerPoint™ presentation on computer, with each image and each part of the narration presented as a separate PowerPoint™ slide.
• On paper, use drawings and writing to present a storyboard for the news item.
World War II was followed by a period of bitter rivalry and suspicion known as the Cold War. It was essentially a conflict of world views between communist countries led by the Soviet Union (the Soviet or Eastern bloc countries) and democratic capitalist countries led by the United States (the Western bloc). For many years, at least until the collapse of communism in the USSR in 1991, tensions between the two opposing groups threatened to erupt into yet another world war.

As both the United States and the USSR possessed nuclear weapons, the Cold War represented an extremely serious threat to world peace. The stress was particularly evident in Europe where, in 1949, a group of European and North American states formed an association called the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). NATO aimed to defend its member states against the perceived threat of Soviet aggression.

As a counterbalance to NATO, the Soviet Union and most of its European communist allies formed an opposing association called the Warsaw Pact in 1955.

However, the much-feared global conflict did not occur. Instead, communist and Western-bloc nations fought each other in destructive regional conflicts or angrily confronted one another over certain issues. The following map shows events between 1945 and 1970 that heightened tensions between the two power blocs.

**Source 14: Cold War hotspots**

2. USSR detonates first nuclear bomb 1949 - Raises international tensions and increases fears of a nuclear war.
7. Hungarian Revolution 1956 - Soviet troops attack Budapest to crush Hungary’s attempt to end Soviet domination and break away from the Warsaw Pact.
8. Suez Crisis 1956 - Major powers dispute Egypt’s nationalisation of the Suez Canal (a major shipping route).
10. Berlin Wall 1961 - Communist East Germany builds a wall to separate itself from West Germany.
How was Australia affected by the Cold War?

Australia seems geographically distant from many of the events shown on the map in Source 14, yet it was deeply affected by the Cold War. Many strategists at this time used the ‘domino theory’ to explain the spread of communism. According to this theory, if one country fell to communism, its neighbours were also likely to fall under communist control. It was therefore essential to stop communism before it could gain a foothold.

The Australian Government’s fierce opposition to the spread of communism led to it committing defence forces to the wars in Korea and Vietnam. Nearly one thousand Australians died in those two conflicts and many more were wounded.

Another significant impact of the Cold War was the increasing number of migrants who fled to Australia from communist regimes in their own countries. Two examples are the Hungarians who arrived after 1956 and the Vietnamese who came as refugee settlers in the period 1975–85.

Background briefing: The Forgotten War

The Korean war has become known as ‘The Forgotten War’ because it seems to have faded into memory under the weight of more recent events. However, it remains a very important reminder of Australia’s anti-communist position during the Cold War period.

Before World War II, Korea was under Japanese control. The USSR and the United States were allies in the war against Japan. They agreed that when Japan was defeated, they would share the administration of Korea as a short-term measure. Korea was thus divided into two parts – North and South – using the 38th parallel of latitude as the border. The border was intended to be temporary, until elections could be held and Korea reunited. The United States administered South Korea and the USSR administered North Korea. In the five years following, the two Koreas adopted the political leanings of their temporary rulers. In the north, communist Kim Il Sung became leader with Soviet support. In the south, Dr Syngman Rhee, a strong anti-communist and supporter of the free enterprise system, became leader with American support.

The Korean War began on 25 June 1950 when the North Koreans crossed the 38th parallel and entered South Korea to reunite the country under communist rule. The United Nations called for an immediate withdrawal of North Korean troops. This was ignored. The United Nations then called on member nations to come to South Korea’s aid. Fourteen nations, including Australia, joined a United Nations armed force. A total of 17,000 Australian soldiers, sailors and airforce personnel served in Korea between 1950 and 1953; 339 were killed, 1,216 were wounded and 29 were taken prisoner.

For a time, it seemed that the North Korean and Chinese forces (who had entered the war to support the North) would overrun South Korea. But the tide of battle gradually turned. A ceasefire was proposed in July 1951 but it took another two years before an armistice was finally signed. Battles continued as both sides could not come to an agreement on several issues, including the repatriation of prisoners. The fighting ended on 27 July 1953. The border between North and South Korea remained as it was in 1950. Australian soldiers remained on the peninsula, undertaking peacekeeping duties until 1957.
Further activity

Form a group of three. Your group’s task is to produce a collection of items belonging to an imaginary Australian, Steve Samsonvale, who served as a soldier in Korea (a ‘Korean veteran’). Imagine that Steve has kept his collection secret in a shoe box in a cupboard. The collection must contain the following three genuine historical items and six other items created by you.

Genuine historical items located by you:
1. A political cartoon about Australia’s involvement in the war (mailed to the soldier while he was serving in Korea).
2. An editorial or article from an Australian newspaper, supporting Australia’s involvement in the Korean War.
3. A modern advertisement promoting Australian tourism to Korea.

Items created by you, based on research:
4. Steve’s diary entries for three significant days, including action in the Battle of Kapyong.
5. A postcard that Steve sent from Korea to his parents, with a traditional Korean scene on one side and a personal message on the other.
6. A map of Korea showing major features, plus the 38th parallel and the locations of major battles in which Australian troops were involved.
7. A cassette recording made by Steve twenty years after the war, providing two minutes of reflection on how the war affected his life.
8. The printout of an email message inviting Steve to join the Korean Veterans’ Association.
9. The printout of an email sent to Steve by a friend shortly after the friend had visited the Korean War section of the Australian War Memorial website.

As well as the shoe box of items, you must complete a Research Form like the following, explaining how and where you located the information for each item (books, websites, interviews, newspaper files).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item in shoe box</th>
<th>Sources of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 political cartoon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 editorial/article</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 advertisement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria for assessment

- All nine items are produced.
- Each item is well presented in an appropriate format.
- The items reflect the historical period in a convincing, credible way.
- The Research Form provides evidence of thoughtful and effective research.
The Petrov Affair was a dramatic episode in Australian political history. It happened about 50 years ago, but there may be lessons for today. The Petrov Affair was a special type of political event, and it took place in a special political climate.

Reconstructing events

The table below lists some of the features of the Petrov Affair. Next to each is a specific question for you to answer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature of the Petrov Affair</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government warned that Australia faced very serious threats to its security, prosperity and stability.</td>
<td>What threats to Australia did Prime Minister Menzies identify during the 1951 referendum campaign and the 1954 Petrov Affair?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The threats were linked to dangerous international forces and events.</td>
<td>In what ways were these threats linked to international forces and events?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One particular group of people was identified as under suspicion of being dangerous.</td>
<td>Which group of people within Australia was identified as under suspicion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government claimed that it needed special powers to deal with the threats.</td>
<td>What were some of the special powers that the Menzies government wanted?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Those special powers would require the suspension of some traditional political guarantees and citizens’ rights.</td>
<td>What specific guarantees or rights would have been taken away by the government’s special powers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were intense debates in the Australian community about whether the threats were real, and whether the special powers were necessary.</td>
<td>What evidence have you seen that indicates that there were debates among Australians about the existence of threats and the need for special powers?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fifty years after the 1951 Referendum, events have occurred in Australia that may have something in common with the events of the 1950s. Here are extracts from a newspaper editorial in 2002. The editorial responds to a statement by Justice John Dowd, a former attorney-general of New South Wales, and a spokesman for the International Commission of Jurists. Justice Dowd had criticised new laws being proposed by the federal government to deal with a perceived threat of terrorism.

Source 15: Editorial from The Australian

... Would a terrorist attack on Australian soil be enough to jolt him [Justice Dowd] and his blinkered fellow travellers into reality? ...

... We have a classic case of competing rights – the right to be protected from terrorism versus the right to freedom from a relatively minor and short-term inconvenience that we can wear for the greater good and safety of our society. ... Bali happened, New York and Washington happened, and the Government has issued a general terrorist alert.

... Justice Dowd and his cronies should have more confidence in the robustness of Australian democracy, and wake up to the fact that we are living in a new era of terrorism and insecurity.

Perhaps you noticed some similarities between the editorial in Source 15 and those about the 1951 Referendum on page 15. They all deal with a very important question: how can a democratic society protect itself from threats without restricting the civil and political rights of its citizens?

Some of these civil and political rights, like the freedom to practise the religion of your choice, are guaranteed in the Australian Constitution. Australian laws also offer some protection of your civil rights. For example, the Racial Hatred Act (Commonwealth), introduced in October 1995, prohibits offensive behaviour based on race, colour, or national or ethnic origin. It ‘aims to strike a balance between two valued rights: the right to communicate freely and the right to live free from disparagement’ (condemnation or attack).
However, living in a democratic country also carries certain obligations. Australia has a long history as a tolerant and pluralistic nation. It accommodates people of many nationalities and accepts a great variety of beliefs and behaviours provided they do not harm other members of the community. No matter what people’s personal beliefs are, they never have the right to act violently or incite violence against others. It is illegal to do so.

Striking a balance between liberty and security is not easy, particularly if a democracy is menaced by groups that do not share these democratic beliefs. In 1951 and again in 2002 Australians had to consider whether they would support laws aimed at protecting their nation. At both these points, there was intense public discussion. Australians exercised their traditional freedom of speech and debated the issues in their federal and State parliaments, and expressed their opinions through the newspapers. However, the question remains: what is the best way to protect national security without diminishing civil liberties and human rights?

Further activities

1. Conduct a classroom discussion or role-play on the topic ‘Freedom and security – how can we protect them equally?’.

2. Divide into six groups and select one of the six Teaching and Learning activities in Focus question 3 (Where have Australians’ human rights come from and how are they protected?) in Discovering Democracy Middle Secondary Units. After you have completed the activity, make a five-minute oral presentation to your class to report your findings. (These units are available in books distributed to all schools or can be accessed online at http://www.curriculum.edu.au/democracy/ddunits/units/units.htm.)

3. If you have access to the Internet, read the online guide to the 1995 Racial Hatred Act (Commonwealth) at http://www.hreoc.gov.au/. (Follow links: Racial Discrimination > Racial Hatred Act.) Use information from the Act to design a poster that explains why certain behaviour is illegal.